these, a left bank channel was preferred.

Mr. S. VENKATASWAMI GOWDA.—Should not a right bank channel also be constructed to provide water to the lands situated on the other side?

The MINISTER for REVENUE and FINANCE (Mr. K. V. Anantaraman).—It all depends upon the expenditure involved.

Mr. S. VENKATASWAMI GOWDA.—What will be the expenditure involved for constructing the channel?

The CHIEF ENGINEER (Rajaseva-prasakta N. Sarabhoja).—That has not been estimated. A survey has been made and on the basis of that, it is not likely that many lands on that side can be converted into wet.

Mr. S. VENKATASWAMI GOWDA.—In that case, will the Markandeya Tank be of any use to the raiyats of Malur Taluk whose wet lands have been submerged?

Mr. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—Where is the waste-weir of the tank situated?

Mr. S. VENKATASWAMI GOWDA.—In the Malur Taluk.

Mr. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—Then, why not those whose wet lands have been submerged go in for lands in Bowringpet Taluk?

Mr. S. VENKATASWAMI GOWDA.—But there are no Government lands available there.

Mr. N. SARABHOJA.—There is some land available, which can be given if applied for. Those who cannot get lands in exchange may receive compensation.

Mr. K. SUBBIAH SETTY.—How far is the tank from the Malur Taluk?

Mr. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—Just on the borders of the taluk.

Mr. K. SUBBIAH SETTY.—How far can the channel run on the right bank?

Mr. N. SARABHOJA.—About 7 miles.

Mr. K. SUBBIAH SETTY.—Is it towards Bowringpet Taluk or towards Malur Taluk?

Mr. N. SARABHOJA.—Towards Malur Taluk also for some miles.

Mr. K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—What is the *atchkat* of the tank?

Mr. N. SARABHOJA.—636 acres.

Mr. K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Is the whole *atchkat* disposed of?

Mr. N. SARABHOJA.—Yes.

Mr. K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Is there any extent of land still unoccupied? If so, what is the extent?

Mr. N. SARABHOJA.—Notice.

Mr. K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—To the extent unoccupied land is available, will it be granted for cultivation on application?

Mr. N. SARABHOJA.— Yes.

Mr. K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Does the capacity of the tank admit of any extension of the *atchkat*?

Mr. N. SARABHOJA.—No, because of the agreement with the Madras Government.

Mr. K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Cannot the Government try to make them agree to an extension of the *atchkat*?

Mr. N. SARABHOJA.—We tried, but they have not agreed.

Mr. K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—If the raiyats of Malur Taluk apply for unoccupied lands within that taluk, will they be given?

Mr. N. SARABHOJA.—Yes.

Mr. S. RAMAPPA.—In case the *atchkat* is extended, will there be water enough in the tank for supply to all of it?

Mr. N. SARABHOJA.—I am afraid, no.

Statistics of Registration of Documents before and after the Agriculturists Relief Act came into force.

Q.—60. **Mr. Bhupalam NANJUNDIAH** (Hosadurga—Rural).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of mortgage as wellas sale deeds registered in 1935-36 and 1936-37 before the Agriculturists Relief Act came into force ;

(*b*) the number of mortgage and sale deeds registered in the Sub-Registrar's Office in 1939-40 and 1940-41 after the said Act came into force ;

(*c*) the reason, if there should be difference, in the numbers of deeds so registered ;

(*d*) whether there is any change in the values of immovable properties before and after the coming of the Act into force ?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT (Rajasevaprasakta A. N. Raghavachar).**—

	Mortgage Deeds.		*Sale Deeds*	
Year.	*No.*	*Value.*	*No.*	*Value.*
		Rs.		Rs.
(*a*) 1935-36	55,479	1,39,26,300	75,308	1,74,24,921
1936-37	57,767	1,37,86,056	83,332	1,85,87,437
(*b*) 1939-40	58,576	1,32,25,863	87,880	1,97,31,913
1940-41	46 378	1,27,97,051	91,055	2,14,94,442

(*c*) It would be difficult to state this definitely.

(*d*) Information is not readily available.

Shandy Income of Anavatti Village, Shimoga District.

Q.—61. **Mr. MALLIKARJUNAPPA GOWDA.** (Sorab—Rural).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) since how long the Shimoga District Board have been auctioning the right of holding shandy in Anavatti and what is the income that the District Board have been deriving annually therefrom ;

(*b*) how much have they spent for providing facilities for holding the shandy and in respect of what items ;

(*c*) when did the Government order the payment by the District Board to the Anavatti Village Panchayat of one-fourth of the income from the shandy ;

(*d*) for how many years past the village panchayat has been paid the amount and what is the amount so paid ;

(*e*) whether the District Board have communicated any orders to the village panchayat to the effect that they would adjust the amount payable by them to the village panchayat to the one-third share of the charges on account of menial establishment of the maternity ward at Anavatti payable by the village panchayat to the District Board ;

(*f*) if so, whether they have at all provided any menial establishment for service in the maternity ward ;

(*g*) if not, how many years' dues to the village panchayat have been retained by the District Board ?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, LAW DEPARTMENT (Mr. H. V. Narayana Rao).**—

(*a*) Since the date of the abolition of the Taluk Board, the District Board have been auctioning the right. The income is detailed hereunder :—

		Rs.
1927-28	...	950
1928-29	..	915
1929-30	...	955
1930-31	...	960
1931-32	...	700
1932-33	...	940
1933-34	...	1,000
1934-35	...	825
1935-36	...	875
1936-37	...	916
1937-38	...	800
1938-39	...	805
1939-40	...	990
1940-41	...	895

(*b*) A sum of Rs. 1,993 has been spent towards the construction of market stalls.

(*c*) During the year 1935-36.

(*d*) From the year of Government order up-to-date, an aggregate sum of Rs. 1,118 has been paid by adjustment towards the well contribution as agreed to by the village panchayat on 8-12-1935 and approved by the Deputy Commissioner and towards contribution to the maternity home and a sum of Rs. 105-0-8 by cheque for credit to the village panchayat funds.

(*e*) The transactions in this respect have been intimated to the Amildar of